UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,877	01/31/2000	Zhigang Fang	34098/GTL/S61	4072
	7590 08/22/200 NATIONAL PATENT	EXAMINER		
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP			MCGUTHRY BANKS, TIMA MICHELE	
1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90067	1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/494,877	FANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TIMA M. MCGUTHRY-BANKS	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	r, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the first term of the first ter	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See #11 below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		P ( A )   ( ()	DTOL OOA)				
4. Ithe amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7,11-14,19-21,25-27,29,33,34,37 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u> 41-44</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Roy King/	/T. M. M./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	Examiner, Art Unit 1793						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that GB '654 limits the amount of binder used to make the construction. However, GB '654 does not teach that any amount of binder beyond 9% would destroy the invention. Applicant further argues that EP '125 intentionally avoids the use of Co in the carbind composition, and EP '125 teaches away from using CO. EP '125 does not explicitly state that Mn cannot be combined with Co. The comparative examples are only of Co against other metal-based alloys.